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## History of regular vine models

- Regular vines started with Joe (1996) who constructed them using mixtures of conditional distribution functions.
- There are many choices of conditioning variables, in $d=3$ we have 3 possibilities.
- Bedford and Cooke (2002) introduced a graphical structure to organize the sequence of conditioning variables.
■ In contrast to Joe (1996) the construction of Bedford and Cooke (2002) is based on densities.
- Gaussian vines were analyzed in Kurowicka and Cooke (2006), while estimation for non Gaussian vines started with Aas et al. (2009).

■ Joe (2014) is the up to date reference. An introductory book on vines will appear in 2019.
■ Web resources are vine-copula.org and en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vine_copula

## Eight European banks

■ Consider daily values of eight European banks between 2013 and 2017 from Yahoo

- The following banks were included:
- ACA.PA: Crédit Agricole S.A. (France)
- BBVA.MC: Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A. (Spain)
- BNP.PA: BNP Paribas SA (France)
- CBK.DE: Commerzbank AG (Germany)
- DBK.DE: Deutsche Bank AG (Germany)
- GLE.PA: Société Générale Société anonyme (France)
- ISP.MI: Intesa Sanpaolo S.p.A. (Italy)
- SAN.MC: Banco Santander, S.A (Spain)


## Daily asset values for eight banks



## Daily return values for eight banks
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■ Which pairs of variables are needed?
■ What are the conditioning variables?


## Some graph theoretic background

$\square$ A graph is a pair $G=(N, E)$ with node set $N$ and edge set $E$.

- A path is a graph $P=\left(N_{p}, E_{p}\right)$ with node set $N_{p}=\left\{\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{k}\right\}$ and edge set $E_{p}=\left\{\left\{\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}\right\},\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{\nu_{k-1}, \nu_{k}\right\}\right.$.
■ A graph T is a tree if any two nodes of T are connected by a unique path in T .



## Regular vine distributions

A parametric regular vine distribution $R(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ for the random vector $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}\right)$ with marginal distributions $F_{j}, j=1, \ldots, d$ has three components:

## Components of a regular vine distribution

1. Tree structure: set of linked trees $\mathcal{V}$
2. Parametric bivariate copulas: Set $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{V})$ for each edge in tree structure. Members of $\mathcal{C}$ are called pair copulas.
3. Corresponding parameters: $\theta=\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{V}))$

Abbreviation: R-vine $R(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$

## Regular vine tree structure

An $n$-dimensional vine tree structure $\mathcal{V}=\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d-1}\right\}$ is a sequence of linked $d-1$ trees with

## Vine tree structure (Bedford and Cooke (2002))

- Tree $T_{1}$ is a tree on nodes 1 to $d$.

■ Tree $T_{j}$ has $d+1-j$ nodes and $d-j$ edges.

- Edges in tree $T_{j}$ become nodes in tree $T_{j+1}$.

■ Proximity condition: Two nodes in tree $T_{j+1}$ can be joined by an edge only if the corresponding edges in tree $T_{j}$ share a node.

Special vine tree sequences:
■ D-vines use only path like trees

- canonical C-vines use only star like tree


## Edges in the vine tree sequence

Consider the tree sequence $\mathcal{V}=\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d-1}\right\}$, where tree $T_{k}$ has edge set $E_{k}$ and node set $N_{k}$.

## Example:

■ Let $a, b, c, d$ nodes in $T_{1}$ with edges $e_{1}=a b, e_{2}=b c$, $e_{3}=c d$ three connected edges in $E_{1}$ of Tree $T_{1}$.
■ Then $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and $e_{3}$ are nodes in $T_{2}$.

- The edge between $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ in Tree $T_{2}$ we denote by $e_{a, c ; b}$.
- The edge between $e_{2}$ and $e_{3}$ in Tree $T_{2}$ we denote by $e_{b, d ; c}$.
- The edges $e_{a, c ; b}$ and $e_{b, d ; c}$ are nodes in tree $T_{3}$ and the edge between these two nodes is denoted by $e_{a, d ; b, c}$.



General: Any edge in tree $T_{k}$ can be characterized by $e_{i, j ; D}$, where $D$ is a set of $k-1$ indices.

## Pair copulas and edges

■ Let $(i, j, D)$ be chosen such that $e_{i j ; D}$ is edge in tree $T_{k}$.

- Let $C_{i j ; D}$ be the pair copula associated with edge $e_{i j ; D}$.
- Then $C_{i j ; D}\left(c_{i j ; D}\right)$ is the copula (density) associated with the bivariate conditional distribution $\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ given $\mathbf{X}_{D}=\mathbf{x}_{D}$.
■ Since we assume the simplifying assumption this copula is independent of the specific value $\mathrm{x}_{D}$.


## Regular vine density (Bedford and Cooke 2002)

$$
f_{1: d}(\mathbf{x})=\prod_{k=1}^{d} f_{k}\left(x_{k}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{d-1} \prod_{e=e_{i j ; D} \in E_{k}} c_{i j ; D}\left(F_{i \mid D}\left(x_{i} \mid \mathbf{x}_{D}\right), F_{j \mid D}\left(x_{j} \mid \mathbf{x}_{D}\right)\right)
$$

## Canonical C-vine distributions

are regular vine distributions where each tree has a unique node that is connected to $n-j$ edges.

## four dimensional C -vine distribution

$$
f_{1234}=f_{1} \cdot f_{2} \cdot f_{3} \cdot f_{4} \cdot c_{12} \cdot c_{13} \cdot c_{14} \cdot c_{23 \mid 1} \cdot c_{24 \mid 1} \cdot c_{34 \mid 12}
$$





## D-vine distributions

are regular vine distributions where no node in any tree is connected to more than two edges

## Four dimensional D-vine distribution

$$
f_{1234}=f_{1} \cdot f_{2} \cdot f_{3} \cdot f_{4} \cdot c_{12} \cdot c_{23} \cdot c_{34} \cdot c_{13 \mid 2} \cdot c_{24 \mid 3} \cdot c_{14 \mid 23}
$$



## Can we see an example of an R-vine?



## Conditional distribution functions

For $\boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}\right)$ and $v_{-j}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_{d}\right)$

$$
f(x \mid \boldsymbol{v})=c_{x v_{j}} \mid \boldsymbol{v}_{-j}\left(F\left(x \mid \boldsymbol{v}_{-j}\right), F\left(v_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{v}_{-j}\right)\right) \cdot f\left(x \mid \boldsymbol{v}_{-j}\right)
$$

Univariate conditioning ( $v$ univariate)
Since $f(x \mid v)=c_{x v}\left(F_{x}(x), F_{v}(v)\right) f_{x}(x)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x \mid v) & =\int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{\partial^{2} C_{x v}\left(F_{x}(u), F_{v}(v)\right)}{\partial F_{x}(u) \partial F_{v}(v)} f_{x}(u) d u \\
& =\frac{\partial C_{x v}\left(F_{x}(x), F_{v}(v)\right)}{\partial F_{v}(v)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Multivariate conditioning (Joe 1996)

$$
F(x \mid \boldsymbol{v})=\frac{\partial C_{x, v_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{v}_{-j}}\left(F\left(x \mid \boldsymbol{v}_{-j}\right), F\left(v_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{v}_{-j}\right)\right)}{\partial F\left(v_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{v}_{-j}\right)}
$$

All conditional cdf's in an R-vine can be recursively determined.

## R-vine matrices (I)

■ Vine tree structure is stored in triangular matrix $M=\left\{m_{i j}\right\}$

- Consider the R -vine with edges
- Tree 1: 51,14,42,43
- Tree 2: 45;1, 12;4, 13;4
- Tree 3: 35;14 ,23;14
- Tree 4: 25;134

■ Start with edge $25 ; 134$ in Tree 4 , set $m_{11}=2$ and $m_{21}=5$.
■ Find partner of 2 in Tree 3:

- Find edge which has 2 in the conditioned set $(23 ; 14)$
- Partner is then 3 and set $m_{31}=3$
- Partner of 2 in Tree 2 is 1 and therefore set $m_{31}=1$.
- Partner of 2 in Tree 1 is 4 and therefore set $m_{41}=4$.

■ Column 1 identifies the edges 25;314, 23;14;21;4, 24
■ Remove all edges containing 2 , giving edges 51,14,43,45;1, $13 ; 4,35 ; 14$ and do the same with these edges.

## R -vine matrices (II)

■ Resulting R-vine matrix $M=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 & 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 1 & 4 & 4\end{array}\right]$
■ Column 1 identifies $25 ; 314,23 ; 14,21 ; 4,24$.
■ Column 2 identifies $35 ; 14,31 ; 4 ; 34$.
■ Column 3 identifies 54;1, 51.

- Column 4 identifies 14.
- Pair copula family matrix $\mathcal{C}$ has following structuce

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c_{25 ; 314} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c_{23 ; 14} & c_{35 ; 14} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c_{21 ; 4} & c_{31 ; 4} & c_{54 ; 1} & 0 & 0 \\
c_{24} & c_{34} & c_{51} & c_{14} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

- The associated copula parameters are stored similarly as $\mathcal{C}$.


## Scope of the vine copula models

- A regular vine cdf with uniform margins is a vine copula.

■ Known vine copula classes:

- multivariate Gaussian copula
- multivariate t copula
- multivariate Clayton copula (Takahashi (1965)). Stöber et al. (2013) showed this is the only Archimedean copula.

■ Number of $d-1$ vine trees: (Morales-Nápoles et al. 2010)

$$
\left.m(d)=d!\times 2^{\left(c_{2}^{2}-2\right.}\right) / 2 \quad \text { Ex: } m(25) \approx 1.1 . \times 10^{1} 01
$$

- Number of pair copulas:

$$
p(d)=\frac{d \times(d+1)}{2} \operatorname{Ex:~} p(500) \approx 124,000
$$

Efficient estimation and model selection are vital

## Outline

## 1 Regular vine distributions

2 Data preparation and exploration or vine based modeling

3 Model selection and estimation in regular vine based models

4 Summary

## Marginal analysis of bank asset values

- Fit a GARCH $(1,1)$ model with Student t errors to each asset $X_{t j}, t=1, \ldots, T$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{t j} & =\sigma_{t j} \epsilon_{t j} \\
\sigma_{t j}^{2} & =\omega_{j}+\alpha_{j} X_{(t-1) j}^{2}+\beta_{j} \sigma_{(t-1) j}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon_{t j}$ are i.i.d standardized Student t with $d f_{j}$ degrees of freedom.

- Form fitted standardized residuals $\hat{\epsilon}_{t j}=\frac{X_{t j}}{\hat{\sigma}_{t j}}$.
- Transform $\hat{\epsilon}_{t j}$ to pseudo copula values using the standard Student t distribution with $\hat{d f} f_{j}$.


## Standardized residuals after GARCH fit



## Pseudo copula data for bank data



## Pairwise normalized contour plots for banks $\prod_{\|}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | O | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | . |  |
|  | 0 | 0 |  | O | 0 |  |  | 55.5 |
|  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
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## Model selection and estimation senarios

Need to study three senarios (Czado et al. 2013a):

- for given R-vine tree structure and pair copula families.

Parameters are to be estimated.

- for given R-vine tree structure. Copula families and parameters are to be estimated
- all three components are unknown, i.e the tree structure, the pair copula families and their parameters are to be estimated.

Assumption: at least approximate i.i.d. copula sample from an R -vine copula


## Known tree structure and copula families

■ Sequential estimation:

- Parameters are sequentially estimated starting from the top tree until the last (Aas et al. (2009), Czado et al. (2012)).
- Asymptotic theory is available (Haff et al. (2013)), however corresponding standard error estimates are difficult to compute.
- Can be used as starting values for maximum likelihood.

■ Maximum likelihood estimation:

- Asymptotically efficient under regularity conditions, again estimated standard errors are numerically challenging.
- Uncertainty in value-at-risk (high quantiles) is difficult to assess.
■ Bayesian estimation:
- Posterior is tractable using Markov Chain Monte Carlo (Min and Czado (2011) for D-vines and Gruber (2011) for R-vines)
- Prior beliefs can be incorporated and credible intervals allow to assess uncertainty for all quantities.


## Known vine structure (Brechmann 2010)

- Select a set of candidate familes for the pair copulas.
- For the pair copulas in the first tree use the copula data directly to fit all candidate families for each pair copula $C_{i j}$.
- Choose as family the one with the lowest AIC. (AIC or BIC not so crucial since only families with 1 or 2 parameters)
- For pair copula $C_{a b ; D}$ in tree $T_{j, j} \geq 2$ with some $a, b \in\{1: d\}$ and $D$ a subset of $\{1: d\}$ with $a, b \notin D$ define


## Pseudo data in tree $T_{j}$

$$
u_{i, a \mid D}:=C\left(u_{i a} \mid \mathbf{x}_{i, D}\right) \text { and } u_{i, b \mid D}:=C\left(u_{i b} \mid \mathbf{x}_{i, D}\right), i=1, \ldots, n
$$

Note these conditional cdf's require the pair copulas and parameters in the trees $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{j-1}$.
■ Fit all candidate families to $\left\{u_{i, a \mid D}, u_{i, b \mid D}\right\}, i=1 \ldots, n$ and select the one with the lowest AIC.

## Sequential selection (Dißmann et al. 2013) П\|

Idea: Model strong pairwise dependencies first
■ For $T_{1}$ use a maximal spanning tree (MST) algorithm to find tree which maximizes the sum of absolute empirical pair Kendall's $\tau$.

- Use AIC to choose the pair copula families in $T_{1}$.
- Apply MST to the graph of all nodes of $T_{2}$ (edges in $T_{1}$ ) with all edges allowed by proximity. Kendall's $\tau$ estimates use corresponding pseudo observations
- Continue with the remaining trees.
- Other weight measures such as tail dependence measures can be used (Czado et al. 2013b).


## Illustration of the Dissmann algorithm (I) П\|



Figure: Complete graph of all pairs from a seven dimensional data set of the first tree.

## Illustration of the Dissmann algorithm (II) П\|



Figure: First tree graph with selected edges highlighted in bold.

## Illustration of the Dissmann algorithm (III) П\|



Figure: All pairs of variables of tree $T_{2}$ and edges allowed by the proximity condition.


Figure: Tree $T_{2}$ with selected edges highlighted in bold.

## Bayesian model selection approaches

■ Reversible jump (RJ) MCMC (Min and Czado (2011)) and an MCMC with model indicators (Smith et al. (2010)) were used for D-vines choosing between an independence copula and a fixed copula family (nonsequential but tree structure known).
■ Gruber and Czado (2015) developed a sequential RJMCMC Bayesian model selection approach, while Gruber and Czado (2018) extends this to a non sequential selection strategy.

- All these approaches are restricted to $d \leq 20$.


## R-package VineCopula

Schepsmeier et al. (2017)

RVineAIC
RVineBIC
RVineCopSelect

RVineSeqEst

RVineSim
RVineStructureSelect

RVineMLE
plot
contour
summary

AIC of an R-Vine Copula Model BIC of an R-Vine Copula Model Sequential Pair-Copula Selection and Parameter Estimation for R-Vine Copula Models for given Tree Structure Sequential Parameter Estimation of an R-Vine Copula Model for given Copula families and given Tree Structure Simulation from an R-Vine Copula Model Sequential Specification of R- and C-Vine Copula Models (Dissmann Algorithm) Joint Maximum Likelihood Estimation of an R-Vine Copula Model
Tree plots for R-vine matrix object Contour plots of fitted pair copulas Summary output for R-vine matrix object
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## Summary

■ Defined R-vine distributions with

- arbitrary marginal distributions
- R-vine tree structure,
- Set of associated arbitrary pair copulafamilies,
- Set of associated pair copula parameters.
- There is huge number of R -vine tree structures and a large number of parametric bivariate pair copulas.
■ Dependence in multivariate time series is modelled over dependence among standardized residuals of an approriate univariate time series model.
- A sequential estimation approach can be used to estimate parameters.
- The Dissmann algorithm can be used to select an appropriate vine distribution to data.
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